



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,957	06/18/2001	Rose Ramon Botella Mesa	DAVII99.016APC	3466
20995	7590	06/30/2009	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARLENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			MARVICH, MARIA	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR				1633
IRVINE, CA 92614				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/30/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/763,957	BOTELLA MESA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MARIA B. MARVICH	1633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maria B. Marvich. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kristine Kim. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 326 mailed 5/28/09 is herein clarified. The action is a Non-Final rejection in response to an amendment filed 3/24/09. Claims 1, 7, 9, 11-15, 19-21, 26-39 are pending. Claim 7 is objected to. The summary on page 11 correctly states that Claims 1, 7, 9, 11-15, 19-21, 38 and 39 are rejected. Claims 26-35 are objected to. However, claim 36 and 37 should also be indicated as being objected to as dependent on a rejected claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maria B Marvich/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633